



LONE PEAK PUBLIC SAFETY DISTRICT MINUTES

Wednesday, April 28, 2021

7:30 am

Approved May 2, 2021

Highland City Hall, 5400 West Civic Center Drive, Highland, Utah 84003

PRESIDING:

Chair Brittney P. Bills

BOARD MEMBERS

PRESENT:

Rod Mann, Kurt Ostler, Troy Stout, Lon Lott

STAFF PRESENT:

LPPSD Executive Director Nathan Crane, LPPSD Assistant Executive Director Shane Sorensen (via phone), Recorder Stephannie Cottle, Finance Director Tyler Bahr, Police Chief Brian Gwilliam,

OTHERS PRESENT:

Darci Brunson, Ryan Alberts, Kathy Loveland, Kevin J. Dearing, Nancy Jones, Brandt Godwin, Rusty Bybee, Dustin Mitchell, Brian Patten Connor Kinsey, Danny Campbell, Jamey Brooks, Kayden Carter

7:30 AM REGULAR MEETING

Call to Order: Brittney P. Bills, Chair

Invocation: Lon Lott, Board Member

The meeting was called to order by Chair Brittney P. Bills as a regular meeting at 7:37 am. The meeting agenda was posted on the *Utah State Public Meeting Website* at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. The prayer was offered by Board Member Lon Lott.

1. UNSCHEDULED PUBLIC APPEARANCES

Please limit comments to three minutes per person. Please state your name.

Kevin Dearing expressed his appreciation for the opportunity to come out and speak that morning about a couple issues. His first issue was with the \$20.00 fee for the burn permit. He noted that he was not aware when the issue was discussed previously or he would have addressed it then. He expressed that his first issue with the burn permit was that he was not happy the previous year when he had found out that he had to pay the \$20.00. He said that it was not necessarily the money, but the philosophy of having to pay it. He felt that they should look internally to improve the process instead of charging a bunch of fees.

Kevin Dearing expressed the opinion that it was discriminatory against older individuals who had an orchard like he did. He further said that it seemed like it was difficult to police it to be fair and equitable, and he did not think that it was very efficient. He summarized that he had paid his fee last year, and that he decided to burn in the Spring and the Fall so he did not have to pay for the next year. However, when he went to get his Fall permit it said that no one was allowed to burn in the State. He explained that when he had called the Police, it was dismissed

as a State problem and not something they could deal with. He gave an example of a time during the pandemic that he and his wife had to cancel flights, and he relayed that the airline had refunded him given the circumstances. He stated that he did not get the opportunity to burn in the fall even though he had paid for it, and requested a refund or the ability to use it at a later time.

Kevin Dearing then moved onto the second issue. He expressed that it was ludicrous that they charged for the permit. He thought that they needed to rethink it, and felt that they did not need to follow the lead of other Cities like Alpine just because that was what they had done. Kevin Dearing recommended that they rethink the fee, and he asked that they did not require people to pay it this year. He reiterated that they should rethink the process because it was discriminatory. He noted that fireplaces did not require a burn permit. He felt that if they required a burn permit, then it should be consistent and also be required for fireplaces and there be fees for park usage too.

Board Member Troy Stout stated that Alpine charged because they were in the same district. He noted that other Cities may not charge for it, but explained that those places had better taxes to help compensate for it.

Police Chief Brian Gwilliam noted that in the last meeting they had approved the purchase of optics for the rifles, and explained that he brought a sample of the optics to show them.

2. PUBLIC HEARING: APPROVAL OF LONE PEAK PUBLIC SAFETY DISTRICT FY2021-2022 TENTATIVE BUDGET

The Board will hold a public hearing and consider approval of the FY2021-2022 tentative budget.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr provided some follow up from the previous session. First was the holiday pay and accounting of it for police and fire departments. He noted that there were some good suggestions, and that it would be implemented on July 1st. Second was that he had spoken with their auditor about recognition of the deployment revenue. Finance Director Tyler Bahr said that there was a lag in deployment, but that they anticipated those funds to come in. Third was about the question raised in regards to public safety and its funding. He noted that they had done a quick survey on the amount of funding dedicated from the general fund for public safety. American Fork had 50% dedicated to public safety, Lehi had 39%, and Cedar Hills had 36%.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr explained that on their budget strategy, their focus was on personnel. He stated that the budget they had before them included a 3% merit increase, a 10% estimated benefit cost increase, and salary adjustments as needed due to the market. He further explained that they were looking to maintain a sustainable budget in regards to the balance of personnel needs and the identification of operational efficiencies.

Board Member Kurt Ostler asked about the changes from the working draft, and asked about minimum wage.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr explained that the change was not from minimum wage, but rather from market wage. He said that some of the firefighter wages were a little low, and that the intent was to bring those wages up to be competitive market wages.

Board Member Kurt Ostler asked if there was a study. Finance Director Tyler Bahr said that a consultant was engaged and had access to comparative data from other Cities and agencies. He said that it refreshed the survey on a regular basis to make sure they had the latest and greatest for comparison.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr stated that the changes they would see to the tentative budget were salary adjustments and reallocation of some fire operations and expenses to make sure they maintained their contractual items while identifying efficiencies where possible. He then provided a recap of what was previously identified by Police Chief Brian Gwilliam. The major elements were to address if there were operational efficiencies, or operationally

a net decrease to compensate for the personnel increases, a part-time analyst to consolidate the data as well as administrative functions, public education, professional services to participate in a mobile force team, training required by the State, and equipment, namely tasers.

Board Member Kurt Ostler asked about the tasers, and noted that they had funded the purchase of tasers the previous year. Police Chief Brian Gwilliam responded that they had purchased the tasers on a contractual basis, and that this line item would cover that additional cost.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr provided a recap for the fire side as well. He explained that there were increases in equipment maintenance, professional services and grant writing, training for paramedic school reimbursement as recruitment tools, and some capital items such as cardiac monitors and a chest compressor. He said that within the operations expenses, they had reallocated those lines to make sure that the funding was appropriate. He stated that the overall total in operations was \$525K.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr explained that with those changes, a slight increase in assessments, and a total of \$15K overall, \$5,699 would be allocated to Alpine and \$9,416 would be allocated to Highland.

Board Member Troy Stout asked if there was a column for the difference in overall costs per City compared to the previous year.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr said that he did not, but that they could certainly pull it up for him. He explained that with regards to the timeline they wanted to adopt the tentative budget that day. He said that the City Councils would likely be required to adopt and approve the budget for the district by May 19th. Finance Director Tyler Bahr relayed that they would reconvene the board at the end of May to adopt the FY2022 budget. He said that this time allowed the board to adopt the budget with the new requirement that if the increase in the budget was more than the average increase in property taxes that both City Councils had the opportunity to approve the budget.

Board Member Rod Mann told Board Member Troy Stout that he could find the breakout of the costs he had asked about on Police Revenue Page 1 and Fire Revenue Page 2. Board Member Troy Stout replied that he was concerned about how far above they were from the previous year. He noted that previously in the discussion they had tried to pull in those costs as much as possible and still remain competitive in the market for firefighters and police officers. He said that they had had some pretty big budget increases in the last year.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr stated that the overall increase was \$100K which was roughly 64%. He said that he would provide a breakthrough within each of the departments. He explained that it was just under \$100K and that the calculations were slightly different for fire and police, and he briefly explained the difference in the allocations.

Board Member Troy Stout noted for public information that if they approved, they still had some working time, and that this was the approval of a preliminary budget.

Chair Brittney P. Bills opened the public hearing at 7:58 am.

There were no public comments.

Chair Brittney P. Bills closed the public hearing at 7:58 am.

Board Member Troy Stout MOVED that the Board approve the Lone Peak Public Safety District 2021-2022 Tentative Budget.

Board Member Mann *SECONDED* the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

Board Member Rod Mann	Yes
Board Member Kurt Ostler	Yes
Board Member Troy Stout	Yes
Board Member Lon Lott	Yes

The motion passed 4:0.

3. DISCUSSION: WILDLAND DEPLOYMENT ASSESSMENT

The Board will be presented with the results of the Wildland Deployment study and will have a discussion regarding the results.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr explained that they had engaged the auditor to perform the assessment of the Wildland Deployment. He outlined that over the last three years the fire department had participated to provide aid to other agencies both internal and external of the State when their resources had been exhausted. He relayed that there was a lot of activity in California over the last year particularly.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr outlined that the purpose and goals of the effort were primarily to understand the inflow and outflow financially of the deployment effort, so they performed a cost benefit analysis. He further outlined that it was to use best practices as they moved forward, and to provide careful accounting of the resources used and revenue that came in. He stated that the assessment started in February. He acknowledged the involvement of Steve Rowley from Keddington & Christensen, Chief Thompson, LPPSD Executive Director Nathan Crane, and LPPSD Assistant Executive Director Shane Sorenson in the process, and noted how they had met several times about the scope to craft questions that they wanted to answer.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr noted that the information on the report in front of them. He further stated that it included understanding the staffing model and the evaluation of the costs, salaries, and benefits, with the inclusion of those that would backfill while others were deployed. He further explained that it included the costs for fuel, materials, and equipment, and the impact on use of equipment for deployments that otherwise would have not been used or used at a lesser rate. It also covered upgrades or specific equipment required for deployments, as well as if there were any service impacts as a result of deployment.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr then discussed revenue, which covered how much revenue came in as well as how it was timed. He explained that when deployments occurred, it was often a number of months before they received revenue for the deployment. He also noted the consideration of mutual aid if it was available and there were needed services at home during deployment. He also communicated that there were some factors that did not have a financial impact but were important for management consideration. He addressed the overall goals, such as the identification of how the accounting structure could be adjusted to better facilitate the ongoing adjustment of viability and cost benefit of participation in deployments.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr reviewed some of the data and information that they had learned. He relayed that the acceptable staffing range per shift was six to eight. He noted that the ideal was six, but depended on various factors whether they needed seven or eight. He communicated that the frequency and volume of deployment opportunities was unpredictable, and not all deployments were equal. He explained that California deployments reimbursed more costs at different rates than some of the other deployment opportunities. Finance Director Tyler

Bahr also reiterated that careful accounting and additional accounting structures and record keeping was necessary.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr walked through the overall financial analysis, and noted that it was consistent with the third page of the report. He summarized that with the factor of some mileage cost considerations, the FY2019-2020 were around \$100K netted. He explained that FY2021 was significantly higher at \$371K, and that they were still waiting on revenue from three of the California deployments.

Kurt asked if they had ever had a time when they had been challenged on the billing. Finance Director Tyler Bahr responded that so far, they had only encountered delays in payment. For example, they had some deployments in 2018 that were not reimbursed until 2020. However, he noted that the request and reimbursement had always been paid.

Board Member Troy Stout noted that some of that was timing related. He referred to the fires that happened late in the last year that would push the collection of reimbursement deeper into the current year. He asked if there was a typical time pattern or if it was fairly random. Finance Director Tyler Bahr responded that it depended on the deployment. He explained that the deployments that they currently waited on were six to nine months, and he said that since the deployments had happened between August and September, they hoped to see the funds in the current year. Board Member Troy Stout commented that presented somewhat of a challenge in regards to those costs being factored into budget numbers.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr said that some further consideration was needed as to what they needed to track in the future. He noted there were some estimates, such as the mileage costs which were assumed to be four times the IRS rates.

Council Member Kurt Ostler commented that they had larger trucks with increased fuel consumption which was different than regular commuter vehicles. He asked if it was four times the industrial rate of a regular car. Finance Director Tyler Bahr explained that it was \$.56 times four, but that they had also looked at freight hauling and commercial operations which were consistent. He said that they did not haul materials at a high enough level, and that it was consistent with commercial.

Board Member Troy Stout said that in addition to the mileage wear and tear, they had trucks that sat in the middle of a fire for a couple weeks. He asked if those considerations were also factored into the cost. Finance Director Tyler Bahr responded that it was part of the thought process of the times four. He stated that it was certainly a factor, and he communicated that right now it was just an estimate.

Board Member Lon Lott asked if their insurance rates went up based on what types of fires equipment was sent to. Finance Director Tyler Bahr answered that it did not, but he would check to make sure. Board Member Lon Lott commented that some commercial insurance rates had differences based on use. Finance Director Tyler Bahr reiterated that he would look into it.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr said that in regards to the backfill seen on the financial summary on the third page, there were some instances where data was not available such as on the larger deployments. There were instances where the Chief simply did not have the backfill information. Board Member Troy Stout commented that the lack of accountability for some of those dollar amounts was one of the areas of concern over the last couple years. He discussed that it was important that they knew where that money went and came from.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr moved onto fleet requirements and whether they needed to have equipment regardless of deployments. He discussed the importance of understanding what the frequency of use at home versus on deployment was, and noted that that data was not available. He said that they would look to check that

in the future. Finance Director Tyler Bahr talked about staffing impacts and what was required for minimum staffing. He explained that it determined the minimum staffing and what staffing had occurred during deployments.

Board Member Rod Mann asked if the minimum staffing was different than normal staffing. Finance Director Tyler Bahr replied that eight was ideal and six was the minimum. Board Member Rod Mann inquired what their normal versus minimum staffing looked like in regards to cost if they factored the cost of deployment. He said that if deployment caused them to be below the norm, then that affected the service level.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr said that in the sample they looked at it did not appear that staffing was below normal. Board Member Lon Lott asked if the cost was to them when they called on mutual aid. Finance Director Tyler Bahr answered that it was not. He explained that mutual aid occurred on a regular basis and went both ways. Board Member Rod Mann commented that it seemed as if they gave more than they got on mutual aid. LPPSD Executive Director Nathan Crane said that it would be shown in their call analysis.

Board Member Troy Stout thought that it would fluctuate year to year. He stated that they received aid when they had big events happen, and relayed that they had experienced fewer events than their neighbors that required mutual aid. Board Member Rod Mann informed them that his comment was based on the two-year analysis on the dispatch calls that had been sent out a couple of weeks ago.

Finance Director Tyler Bahr discussed the next steps. He communicated that they wanted to ensure that the needs of both residents of the district and staff were met. He noted that changes were underway in the fire department, and explained that they did not want to send resources out if there were needs at home. He specifically noted that they implemented the accounting and fleet tracking recommendations from the report to approve the ongoing assessment of cost benefit. He said that they may participate in deployments as resources allowed, but reiterated that they needed to carefully keep track of the incoming costs and revenue. He expressed that those were the things that needed to be tracked in order to give a complete picture of what went in and out

Chair Brittney P. Bills commented about the acceptable staffing of six to eight, and asked if that was the national standard. Finance Director Tyler Bahr replied that he was not aware of a national standard, and that those numbers were based on discussions with Chief Thompson with operational understanding.

Board Member Troy Stout said that there was a standard that had to be maintained of two in and two out, that required them to have a certain number of people there in case of an event. That was why they had come up with four per station.

Board Member Kurt Ostler expressed that it was based on call and type, and whether they had staffing to back up if there was a second call. Board Member Troy Stout stated that the code required support to equal those going in. Chair Brittney P. Bills asked if they always had double of the equipment that was sent to deployments. Finance Director Tyler Bahr confirmed. Board Member Kurt Ostler echoed the concern and wondered situationally if they sent out wildfire equipment if they had enough equipment to respond at home, too. Deputy Chief Brian Patten confirmed that they did have doubles of the equipment, and he said that they had one of everything in reserve in both Cities.

Chair Brittney P. Bills asked who made the decision to deploy. Deputy Chief Brian Patten said that the Chiefs looked at the calendar and equipment availability. Chair Brittney P. Bills asked how they decided who went. Deputy Chief Brian Patten explained that all of them had the training, but some people were interested in going. He said that they tried to take those people, but had to look at shift schedules and adjust accordingly. Chair Brittney P. Bills asked if there was increased pay for deployment.

Deputy Chief Brian Patten explained that those who went out on a fire were paid for the hours worked. He informed them that they were on a 48/96 shift schedule. He further explained how payment for those shifts worked, and said that theoretically if they worked every day, it would be advantageous to stay home. However, they didn't work hours like that.

Board Member Kurt Ostler walked through the pay and asked for some clarification if they got paid here plus supplemental pay when they went out. Deputy Chief Brian Patten explained that they were paid by the district for the 48 hours they were on duty, and then the two days they were off duty they would be paid. Board Member Kurt Ostler asked if the backfill could be overtime and if that was common practice. Deputy Chief Brian Patten confirmed. Board Member Kurt Ostler commented that he had always thought before that people wanted to go out on deployments because it was financially beneficial.

Deputy Chief Brian Patten explained that it was financially beneficial because they were committed anywhere from two to 18 days. He said that if they were out on an 18-day deployment then they were paid every day. Finance Director Tyler Bahr stated that some of that was regular pay and some was overtime. He explained that the overtime pay was for when they would normally be off. Board Member Kurt Ostler asked if they got paid 24 hours for the days they worked. Deputy Chief Brian Patten informed him that there were two types of deployments, regular deployment and EMAC. He explained that the EMAC was reimbursable State of emergency events and was federally backed, and they paid for 24 hours. He further stated that for regular deployment they got paid for hours worked.

Board Member Kurt Ostler speculated that there were probably some firefighters who saw the financial benefit to EMAC. Deputy Chief Brian Patten said that EMAC was more desirable because it was guaranteed pay. Board Member Kurt Ostler asked if they went on more EMAC deployments last year because it was more financially beneficial. Deputy Chief Brian Patten replied no, and explained that EMAC were big fires.

Deputy Chief Brian Patten explained that last year was a bad fire year, while the previous one was not. He further explained that it was based more on opportunity rather than a financial drive. Board Member Kurt Ostler asked if they deployed to Lehi for the fires to give mutual aid if they would try to backfill. Deputy Chief Brian Patten said yes. He communicated that in the initial rollout they had backfilled those stations for those days. He said that because they had deployment capabilities, when those incidents became State or Federal incidents, they transitioned from a mutual aid to an actual reimbursed deployment.

Chair Brittney P. Bills asked in the next step what the purpose of the deployment was from their side, as well as how much the department wanted to see as they went forward. Deputy Chief Brian Patten replied that it was a positive thing, and that a lot of individuals were really interested in it. He stated that it helped in recruitment and keeping staff, and that it was an opportunity to make money. He communicated that the last year had worn them out a little bit, and that if they took care of home that it was absolutely positive. He said that the other benefit was that they had a new brush truck in the district, and as they deployed those things it allowed them to replace Wildland equipment with deployment money and to build the Wildland program. He noted that the equipment required to deploy was of a higher standard than local, and that the truck had to be certified at a higher level.

Board Member Kurt Ostler asked if every fire department deployed, and whether or not they personally deployed more than other departments in the last year. Deputy Chief Brian Patten said that he did not know. He said that Lehi had a wildland team, and also that every agency in Utah County had deployed last year in some fashion.

Board Member Lon Lott asked how hard it was to pull them back when they were deployed. Deputy Chief Brian Patten stated that if they needed to, they could pull them back, but it was kind of taboo in the wildland world. He explained that when they deployed, they committed themselves for that time. However, if they absolutely needed

to get them back, they could. He expressed that he did not like to pull back because they did not want to get the reputation of being untrustworthy.

Board Member Kurt Ostler asked if they took personal vehicles. Deputy Chief Brian Patten said they did not. Board Member Kurt Ostler asked if a fire truck would have to come back then if someone needed to be pulled back. He referenced an exchange he had seen as well. LPPSD Executive Director Nathan Crane explained that what he referred to was an exchange of crews. They left the fire equipment in Nevada and changed the crews with use of a rental car.

Board Member Kurt Ostler asked about the length of deployment. Deputy Chief Brian Patten stated that 18 days was pretty standard, but some of them were 21.

4. MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING: ALPINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

The Board will consider an agreement to provide School Resource Officers to LPHS, and 2 Jr. High Schools.

This item will be continued to the next meeting.

Board Member Troy Stout MOVED that the Board CONTINUE the agreement with Alpine School District to the next meeting.

Board Member Kurt Ostler SECONDED the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

<i>Board Member Rod Mann</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Board Member Kurt Ostler</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Board Member Troy Stout</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Board Member Lon Lott</i>	<i>Yes</i>

The motion passed 4:0.

5. DEPARTMENT REPORTS

a. Police Department

Police Chief Brian Gwilliam gave his personal opinion about the wildland deployment. He expressed that he thought it was a mistake to completely do away with wildland deployment for the fire department. He believed that there were benefits to the district and to the staff, specifically in regards to the experience they gained. He felt that they needed to find a balance that worked for the board, both Cities, and the department staff.

Police Chief Brian Gwilliam provided a quick report on the police department. He said that from his seat things were going well, but noted that there were some frustrations among staff in regards to the color of law. He said that there were a lot of things happening in the news lately, and that it became frustrating to law enforcers who tried to do the right thing when all that was reported on was negative events and interactions with law enforcement.

Police Chief Brian Gwilliam outlined a report that he had sent to his staff. He relayed that the USA had over 300M people, over 18K law enforcement agencies, and over 800K sworn police officers, and roughly 40M people had contact with law enforcement annually. He informed them that use of force occurred in approximately 700 incidents, or 2% of the time. 54 federal convictions annually were because of use of force or civil rights violations,

such as the ones seen on TV. He stated that this was only a failure rate of .00013%, or 1.3 instances per million contacts.

Police Chief Brian Gwilliam reiterated that all they saw in the news was the negative interactions with law enforcement, which he said was pulling on their heart strings. He revealed that two people had left law enforcement altogether. He explained that they had two vacancies and had just hired two reserves to try them out before being hired full time. He said that other than that, they were okay. He expressed that he was proud to be a part of a great organization, and wanted to recognize staff from both police and fire who were there that morning. He noted that sometimes there was a disconnect between board and staff, and he thought that this was a good opportunity to build positive relationships.

b. Fire Department

Police Chief Brian Gwilliam explained that it had been about a week since he had become the interim fire chief, and said that he had an opportunity last week to sit down with the captains and supervisors. He said that it was a great meeting, and that there was a palpable excitement that surrounded the fire department. He was very surprised about all of the things that the previous two chiefs had going on at the same time. He introduced and thanked Deputy Chief Brian Patten for all of his work to encourage positive change.

Board Member Kurt Ostler expressed his thanks to Police Chief Brian Gwilliam. Police Chief Brian Gwilliam expressed appreciation for the trust of the board, and felt that it was a great opportunity to forge ahead and build some good relationships.

Deputy Chief Brian Patten expressed that the last week and a half had been turbulent, but the staff and Police Chief Brian Gwilliam had been amazing. Deputy Chief Brian Patten expressed that they were in a very exciting place, and everyone had contributed a lot of support and enthusiasm for the future. He said that they were trying to find the gaps and address them. He communicated that they had a full-time position open that had been filled and had also hired a couple part time individuals. He said that the staffing needs were currently being met, and that they wanted to take the minimum to normal. He noted that the full-time staff had come in and picked up everything they could, probably to the detriment of their home lives.

There was a brief discussion about Meier's Meats and parking trucks.

Board Member Troy Stout expressed thanks for Deputy Chief Brian Patten and all of the firefighters.

Board Member Kurt Ostler asked for some clarification about the difference between a Deputy Chief and a Captain. Deputy Chief Brian Patten explained that organizationally they would have a Chief, Deputy Chief, six Captains with two on each shift. He introduced Captain Mitchell, Captain Brandt Godwin, Captain Campbell, part time firefighter Kayden Carter, Connor Kinsey, full time firefighter PJ, Griffen, and Interim Captain Hodson, and Nancy Jones. Deputy Chief Brian Patten thanked Interim Captain Hodson who had stepped up to fill his role.

Board Member Kurt Ostler thanked the fire crew.

Police Chief Brian Gwilliam thanked the board. He recognized that the last ten days had been a hard time period and they were faced with a tough decision, but it was appreciated.

Board Member Lon Lott expressed his appreciation as well.

ADJOURNMENT

Board Member Troy Stout MOVED to adjourn the regular meeting and Board Member Rod Mann SECONDED the motion. All voted in favor and the motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 8:49 am.

I, Stephannie Cottle, Recorder, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on April 28, 2021. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Lone Peak Public Safety District Board Meeting.



Stephannie Cottle
Recorder